

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,565	09/30/2003	Tomokazu Kawamoto	031731	6423
38834	7590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			NGUYEN, TUAN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		100			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/673,565	KAWAMOTO, TOMOKAZU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tuan H. Nguyen	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or b) objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nitta.

See Nitta, figs. 1A-1H and related text on col. 4-5 which discloses the claimed method for forming a semiconductor device including the steps of forming a gate electrode 16, 17 on a semiconductor substrate with a gate insulating film 13 formed therebetween; forming a chemical oxide film 22 on the doped source/drain regions 19, 21 to preventing the dopant from diffusing outside the semiconductor substrate after implanting the dopant into the semiconductor substrate with the gate electrode as a mask to form source/drain region (col. 5, lines 17-55); performing thermal processing for

Art Unit: 2813

activating the dopant implanted in the doped source/drain region (col. 6, first paragraph).

With respect to claim 3, see col. 5, lines 20-22 for the thickness of oxide film 22 of about 30 nm.

With respect to claims 5, 7, see col. 6, first paragraph wherein the dopants are activated in an inner gas.

With respect to claim 14, see col. 5, lines 20-30 where the oxide film 22 is formed at temperature of 250-500°C

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, 6, 8-13, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nitta in view of Yamazaki.

Nitta, figs. 1A-1H and related text on col. 4-5 which discloses the claimed method for forming a semiconductor device as explained above, except the use of photoresist as a mask for doping source/drain region or rapid thermal processing to activate the dopants.

Yamazaki, in a related method for forming a semiconductor as shown in figs. 5A-5G and text on col. 8-9, teaches the use of photoresist 15G as a mask for etching to form gate (fig. 5E) and implanting to form source/drain region (fig. 5F).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the photoresist as a mask for etching and implanting, and rapid thermal processing as suggested by Yamazaki in Nitta process for forming a semiconductor since the photoresist remains over the gate would act as a mask to prevent dopant from entering into the gate in the step of implanting, and rapid thermal processing would reduce the inter-diffusion of dopants.

Page 4

With respect to the formation of oxide film, the doped region would inherently oxidized when the substrate is subjected to oxygen atmosphere or chemical liquid which is well-known process for forming oxide film.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Oh, Xiang et al., Bol. Lowe et al., Wei et al., and Tsukamoto are cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1694. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,565 Page 5

Art Unit: 2813

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan H. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813